

Centre for Integrated Research in Biosafety

Tel: +64 3 364 2500, Fax: + 64 3 364 2590
Email: jack.heinemann@canterbury.ac.nz

4 June 2006

Proposed change to food code not grounded on best available science

The Centre for Research in Biosafety (INBI) is urging the food standards agency to reconsider its draft recommendation to approve a new type of GM corn.

In its submission to FSANZ, INBI makes over 90 major recommendations, most of which identify deficiencies in the supporting scientific studies and in the analysis conducted by FSANZ. INBI also notes ways in which the FSANZ standards deviate from those recommended by international food safety bodies such as Codex Alimentarius and the World Health Organisation.

“FSANZ is obligated to use the best scientific evidence available and conduct a case-by-case assessment. From our point of view, it hasn’t consistently done either,” said Heinemann.

INBI has called on FSANZ to explain how it weighs competing costs and benefits when coming to its decisions.

“FSANZ is charged with maintaining public confidence in the quality and safety of food,” said Billie Moore, an INBI researcher.

“This is impossible without public confidence in FSANZ and its decision-making processes, which must therefore be transparent and open to public scrutiny and evaluation. It cannot expect the public to have confidence in unsubstantiated assertions and unexplained reasoning.”

For the INBI submission, please go to:

<http://www.inbi.canterbury.ac.nz/Documents/submissions/submissionDARA549.pdf>

For further information, contact:

Dr. Jack Heinemann (jack.heinemann@canterbury.ac.nz)